FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. B Rekha

Designation: Professor and HOD

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	0	85.9	14.1
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	0	2.4	88.3	9.4
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	0	90.6	9.4
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	0	0	93	7.1
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	0	2.4	89.4	8.2
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	0	0	90.6	9.4
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	1.2	0	90.6	8.2
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	1.2	1.2	88.2	9.4
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	1.2	89.4	9.4
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	0	91.8	8.2

Total number of students feedback given: 82

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. Beena Antony

Designation: Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	9.6	86.3	4.1
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	1.4	13.7	82.2	2.7
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	12.3	83.6	4.1
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	2.7	6.8	86.3	4.1
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	1.4	9.6	86.3	2.7
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	1.4	8.2	85	5.5
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	0	11	85	4.1
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	2.7	13.7	82.2	1.4
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	11	86.3	2.7
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	8.2	87.7	4.1

Total number of students feedback given: 72

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. Thomas S. Kuruvilla

Designation: Associate Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	1.2	87.8	11
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	0	1.2	86.6	12.2
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	1.2	86.6	12.2
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	0	1.2	91.4	7.3
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	0	2.4	86.6	11
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	0	1.2	86.6	12.2
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	0	1.2	87.8	11
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	0	2.4	86.6	11
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	1.2	85.3	13.4
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	1.2	86.6	12.2

Total number of students feedback given: 81

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. Meena Dias

Designation: Associate Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	0	78.2	21.8
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	0	0	82.1	17.9
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	0	82.1	17.9
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	0	0	83.4	16.7
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	0	0	82.1	17.9
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	0	0	82.1	17.9
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	0	0	79.5	20.5
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	0	1.3	82.1	16.7
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	0	80.8	19.2
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	0	83.4	16.7

Total number of students feedback given: 77

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. Anup Kumar Shetty

Designation: Associate Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	1.1	0	74.7	24.2
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	1.1	3.3	75.8	19.8
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	1.1	0	73.6	25.3
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	1.1	0	74.7	24.2
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	1.1	0	73.6	25.3
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	1.1	0	74.7	24.2
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	1.1	0	72.5	26.4
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	2.2	0	74.7	23.1
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	1.1	1.1	74.7	23.1
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	1.1	1.1	74.7	23.1

Total number of students feedback given: 89

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Dr. Ramakrishna Pai J

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	4	90.6	5.3
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	0	2.7	93.3	4
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	2.7	96	1.3
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	0	2.7	93.3	4
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	2.7	2.7	90.6	4
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	0	2.7	96	1.3
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	0	2.7	96	1.3
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	0	4	93.3	2.7
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	4	89.3	6.7
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	2.7	94.6	2.7

Total number of students feedback given: 74

FEEDBACK REPORT

Course: MBBS II year V term

Batch: 2014

Faculty name: Mrs. Hilda J Pinto

Designation: Assistant Professor

Department Name: Microbiology

SL.No	Description	Strongly Disagree %	Disagree %	Neutral %	Agree %	Strongly Agree %
1	Relevance of the topic explained	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7
2	Lectures/Clinics are audible and made interesting	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7
3	Explanation given with appropriate examples wherever required	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7
4	Optimal use of audio visual aids to enhance learning	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7
5	Students efforts are appreciated /rewarded	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7
6	Topics are presented Systematically	0	0	1.7	95	3.3
7	Amount of information given is adequate	0	0	1.7	95	3.3
8	Teacher holds your attention	0	0	1.7	98.4	0
9	At the end, summarized the subject taught during the class	0	0	1.7	98.4	0
10	Teacher is regular and punctual for the class	0	0	1.7	96.7	1.7

Total number of students feedback given: 60